

DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY

This guidance document is advisory in nature but is binding on an agency until amended by such agency. A guidance document does not include internal procedural documents that only affect the internal operations of the agency and does not impose additional requirements or penalties on regulated parties or include confidential information or rules and regulations made in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. If you believe that this guidance document imposes additional requirements or penalties on regulated parties, you may request a review of the document.

16-004 March 2020

Revised Program Priority System for Waste Reduction and Recycling Incentive Grants Program

A revised version of the Program Priority System for <u>Title 199 – Waste Reduction and Recycling Incentive Grants Program</u>, was developed by the then-Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality in 2015, after extensive discussions with stakeholders. The revisions were approved by the Environmental Quality Council on November 12, 2015, and will be used for evaluation and ranking of the 2016 grant applications. Below is the revised Program Priority System.

'Program Priority System' for the Title 199 – Waste Reduction and Recycling Incentive Grants Program

Function of the Program Priority System: To enable grant reviewers to objectively and quantitatively score applications commensurate with the impact of the project proposal on: the reduction of waste, increased recycling, composting, market development for recyclables, public education and planning, increased technical assistance, implementation of household hazardous management programs, and removal of barriers to waste reduction in the service area.

Section 1: Proposal Elements. These questions evaluate standard elements which should be present in any grant application.	None	Low	Med	High
1. Describe the project and what the project will accomplish.	0	1	2	3
2. Provide a timeline with specific tasks to be accomplished at each milestone date during the 1 year grant term.	0	1	2	3
3. What is your service area? You may include a map or other materials.	0	1	2	3
4. Explain how your project will benefit the area defined for your project.	0	1	2	3
5. How was the need for this project determined?	0	1	2	3
6. What mechanism will be used to measure / analyze program effectiveness?	0	1	2	3
7. Explain how your program will achieve demonstrable direct results.	0	1	2	3

8. Are there other providers or entities that provide similar services as this project? If so, please explain how this project	0	1	2	3
is different.				
9. Are there other entities that would partner with this	0	1	2	3
project? Who are they?		4		
10. Will this project be on-going after the funding has	0	1	2	3
ended?				
MAXIMUM POINTS for Section 1: Proposal Elements				30
Section 2: Project Furtherance of State Waste Reduction				
and Recycling Goals. This question is your opportunity to				
"sell us your project" in terms of its effectiveness at				
reducing waste.				
11. Describe how your project reduces the amount or toxicity	0	10	20	30
of solid waste generated or landfilled in Nebraska. If			20	
applicable, you may relate your answer to any of the project				
purposes listed below and explain how your project achieves				
the purpose(s).				
Eligible projects include, but are not limited to :				
(a) Technical and financial assistance to political subdivisions				
for creation of recycling systems and for modification of				
present recycling systems;				
(b) Recycling, waste reduction, and diversion projects,				
including public education, planning, and technical				
assistance;				
(c) Market development for recyclable materials separated				
by generators, including public education, planning, and				
technical assistance;				
(d) Capital assistance for establishing private and public				
intermediate processing facilities for recyclable materials and				
facilities using recyclable materials in new products;				
(e) Programs which develop and implement composting of				
yard waste, food waste, sewage sludge, or other organics;				
(f) Technical assistance for waste reduction and waste				
exchange for waste generators;				
(g) Programs to assist communities and counties to develop				
and implement household hazardous waste management				
programs; (h) Capital assistance for establishing private and public				
facilities to manufacture combustible waste products and to				
incinerate combustible waste to generate and recover energy				
resources;				
(i) Reimbursement of costs to cities of the second class,				
villages, and counties of five thousand or fewer population				
vinages, and counties of five thousand of fewer population	<u> </u>		1	<u>i</u>

for the deconstruction of abandoned buildings. Eligible			
deconstruction costs will be related to the recovery and			
processing of recyclable or reusable material from the			
abandoned buildings. (i) Other projects to remove barriers to waste reduction, such			
(j) Other projects to remove barriers to waste reduction, such as:			
- Technical barriers (filling technology gaps, providing			
technical assistance, planning and program development);			
- Financial barriers (access to capital);			
- Infrastructure/system barriers (material management			
information/reports, material markets, facilities);			
- Knowledge/skills/abilities (KSA) barriers (baseline			
measurement, improvement through education, training,			
skill building); or			
- Motivational barriers (incentives and disincentives to waste			
reduction, engagement of uninvolved market segments)			
MAXIMUM POINTS for Section 2: Furtherance of State			30
Waste Reduction Goals			
		5 points	
12. Does the eligible project employ disabled or		5 poin	ts
12. Does the eligible project employ disabled or handicapped persons?		5 poin	ts
handicapped persons?	m is for us		
handicapped persons? Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste		e by the	NDEE
handicapped persons?	g sections	e by the	NDEE ved
handicapped persons? Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the followir from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the followin from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each	g sections ve opinion	e by the	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the followin from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the followin from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste.	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri of reviev	NDEE ved wers.
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deri of review Dints Ass	NDEE ved wers. igned
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority System Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deriof review oints Ass	NDEE ved wers. signed
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery	g sections ve opinion	e by the are derivative of review oints Ass	NDEE ved wers. igned
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the followin from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting	g sections ve opinion	e by the are deriof review oints Ass	NDEE ved wers. igned
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery Incineration for Volume Reduction without Energy Recovery UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES: Grantees are highly	eg sections ve opinion Po	e by the are derivative of review oints Ass	NDEE ved wers. igned nts nts nts ts
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery Incineration for Volume Reduction without Energy Recovery UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES: Grantees are highly encouraged to utilize all available resources including cash	eg sections ve opinion Po	e by the are derivative of review oints Associated 20 points 15 points 5 points 6 po	NDEE ved wers. igned nts nts nts ts
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery Incineration for Volume Reduction without Energy Recovery	eg sections ve opinion Po	e by the are derivative of review oints Associated asso	NDEE ved wers. iigned its its its
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjective TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery Incineration for Volume Reduction without Energy Recovery UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES: Grantees are highly encouraged to utilize all available resources including cash match and in-kind donations. At or above 50% cash and in-kind donation match	eg sections ve opinion Po	e by the are derived of review oints Associated associa	NDEE ved wers. iigned its its its its
Section 3: The remaining portion of the Program Priority Syste Waste and Air Grants staff. The points assigned in the following from mathematical calculations and not based on the subjection. TYPE OF PROGRAM: The following table indicates how each program will be rated on the basis of the type of program submitted for funding. The rating is commensurate with Nebraska's Waste Management Hierarchy from the most preferred to the least preferred method of managing waste. Volume Reduction at the Source, and/or Toxicity Reduction Reuse, Recycling and Vegetative Waste Composting Land Disposal and Incineration with Energy Recovery Incineration for Volume Reduction without Energy Recovery UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES: Grantees are highly encouraged to utilize all available resources including cash match and in-kind donations.	eg sections ve opinion Po	e by the are derivative of review oints Associated asso	NDEE ved wers. iigned its its its ts ts its

MAXIMUM POINTS for Section 3: Solid Waste Hierarchy and Utilization of Project Resources	30
TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE per application	95